

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/354,063	07/15/1999	JOHN CRESCENTI	044463.0013	4554
29858	7590 04/24/2002			
BROWN, RAYSMAN, MILLSTEIN, FELDER & STEINER LLP			EXAMINER	
900 THIRD A NEW YORK	- · - · · <del>- · ·</del>		COLBERT, ELLA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
		DATE MAILED: 04/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•			(			
Office Action Summary	09/354,063	CRESCENTI ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Ella Colbert ears on the cover sheet w	2172				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a i within the statutory minimum of thir ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ja	<u>uly 1999</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	<i>=x parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		As by the Evenines				
10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 July 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	S 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,	,				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		oplication No.				
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bure     See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ty documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		i).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional application has be	een received.	,			
Attachment(s)	•	 •				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2 a</u></li> </ol>	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/354, 063 Page 2

Art Unit: 2172

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: In Fig. 1, the reference numbers 117 and 156 are missing from the drawing figure, fig. 3 the reference numbers 312 and 322 are missing from the drawing figure, fig. 7 the reference number 700 is missing from the drawing figure, fig. 8 the reference number 800 is missing from the drawing figure.. Correction is required.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: In figure 8 the reference numbers 840, 850, and 860 are not mentioned or described in the Specification. Correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/354, 063 Page 3

Art Unit: 2172

4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by (EP0899662 A1) Stephen Gold and Joh Bathie, hereafter Gold and Bathie.

With respect to claim 1, Gold and Bathie teach a first software agent that runs on the first computing unit (col. 2, lines 25-30); a second software agent that runs on the second computing unit, the second software agent communicatively coupled to the first software agent (col. 1, lines 29-36); at least one storage device communicatively coupled to the second software agent (col. 1, lines 47-54); the second software agent controlling physical backup of the data onto at least one storage service (col. 4, lines 17-29); and the first software agent controlling the second software agent (col. 4, lines 1-58 and col. 5, lines 1-6).

With respect to claim 2, Gold and Bathie teach a third software agent that controls backups of a computing unit (col. 5, lines 13-23).

With respect to claim 3, Gold and Bathie teach the third software agent runs on either the first computing device or the second computing device (col. 5, lines 24-36).

With respect to claim 4, Gold and Bathie teach a third computing device wherein the third software agent runs on the third computing device (col. 5, lines 37-58 and col. 6, line 1).

With respect to claim 5, Gold and Bathie teach a plurality of computing devices communicatively coupled to the first software agent, wherein the first software agent controls backup functions for the plurality of computing devices (col. 6, lines 5-12 and col. 11, lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 2172

With respect to independent claim 6, Gold and Bathie teach a management software component that operates on the first network device (col. 4, lines 51-54); a media software component, communicatively coupled to the management software component, that operates on the second network device (col. 4, lines 41-44 and col. 13, lines 43-57); a storage device communicatively coupled to the media software component (col. 4, lines 21-29); and the management software component controls the media software component (col. 5, lines 1-6).

With respect to claim 7, Gold and Bathie teach a client software component, communicatively coupled to the management software component and the media software component that controls backups of a particular network device (col. 1, lines 40-46, col. 4, lines 51-58, and col. 5, lines 1-6).

With respect to claim 8, Gold and Bathie teach the client software component operates on the first network device (col. 1, lines 40-470.

With respect to claim 9, Gold and Bathie teach the client software component operates on the second network device (col. 2, lines 25-30).

With respect to claim 10, Gold and Bathie teach a third network device wherein the client software operates on the third network device (col. 2, lines 36-43).

With respect to claim 11, Gold and Bathie teach a management software component that operates on a first network device of the plurality of network devices (col. 4, lines 51-54).

This independent claim is also rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 6.

Art Unit: 2172

With respect to claim 12, this dependent claim is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 7.

With respect to claim 13, Gold and Bathie teach any two of the client software component, the media software component, or the manager software component operate on one of the plurality of network devices (col. 11, lines 25-49).

With respect to claim 14, Gold and Bathie teach the management software component controls backup functions for the plurality of network devices (col. 6, lines 5-20).

With respect to claim 15, Gold and Bathie teach the media software component creates an index of information on the location of archived information on the storage device, and communicates at least part of the index of information to the management software component (col. 13, lines 11-20, col. 16, lines 33-46, col. 17, lines 10-13, and col. 18, lines 39-56).

With respect to claim 16, Gold and Bathie teach at least one backup device communicatively coupled to the media software component (col. 11, lines 37-49); the client component software controls backups of any particular computing device (col. 5, lines 37-50); and at least one of either the media software component or the client software component runs on the second computing device (col. 4, lines 41-55).

This independent claim is also rejected for the similar rationale given for claims 6, 7, 11, and 12.

With respect to claim 17, Gold and Bathie teach the management component software controls backups of the plurality of computing devices (col. 5, lines 1-6 and col. 11, lines 7-15).

This dependent claim is also rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 7.

With respect to claim 18, Gold and Bathie teach at least one backup device (col. 11, lines 20-22).

This dependent claim is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 15.

With respect to claim 19, Gold and Bathie teach the management component software and the media component software operate on different computing devices (col. 16, lines 1-18).

With respect to claim 20, Gold and Bathie teach the management component software and the media component software operate on the same computing device (col. 11, lines 16-30).

With respect to claim 21, Gold and Bathie teach the backup device comprises a plurality of different media (col. 11, lines 31-48, col. 13, lines 47-58, and col. 14, lines 1-4).

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered relevant to applicant's disclosure.
  - Cheffetz et al (US 133,065) disclosed a computer network for backing up data and program files.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is (703)308-7064. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

Art Unit: 2172

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu, can be reached on (703)308-4393.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

## Or faxed to:

(703)746-7238 or (703)746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry).

Or:

(703)746-7239 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

E. Colbert

April 20, 2002

KIM VÜ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100